

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 10/088,224	<b>Applicant(s)</b> PINSON ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b> SHEW-FEN LIN	<b>Art Unit</b> 2166	

**All Participants:**

(1) SHEW-FEN LIN.

(2) Eric G. King (Reg. No. 42,736).

**Date of Interview:** 12 July 2010

**Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_\_

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Time:** 11:00 AM

**Type of Interview:**

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

NA

Claims discussed:

9

Prior art documents discussed:

NA

**Part II.**

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

*See Continuation Sheet*

**Part III.**

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/SHEW-FEN LIN/  
Examiner, Art Unit 2166

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner / Applicant's representative discussed proposed amendment; incorporating claim 15 into claim 9 and change "direction different" to "different coupler" in order to overcome the existing prior arts rejection and to expedite the prosecution of the examination.